

BACKGROUND

Approximately \$2.5B is spent annually on occupational injuries in healthcare. Key to changing this trend is the effective utilization of leading indicators within an Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS). The purpose of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of implementing interventions guided by six leading indicators¹ and the effectiveness of these interventions on improving the health and safety climate.

A quasi-experimental longitudinal design was used within two acute care hospitals. Phase I identified facilitators and barriers to changing the current OHSMSs, assessed the OHSMSs in participating sites using 6 leading indicators, and identified possible leading indicators to be added or changed. This phase concluded with the development of tailored interventions based on the gaps identified in the assessment. Phase II pilot tested and evaluated the feasibility and effectiveness of the interventions.

This is the fifth in a series of summaries highlighting findings from a research study funded by the Ontario Ministry of Labour Research Opportunity Program. Additional information and summaries are available on our website at <https://www.queensu.ca/leadingindicatorsforohsms/>.

OBJECTIVE 4B: SATISFACTION WITH THE INTERVENTIONS

How	ANALYSIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Semi-structured interviews were completed using questions developed by research team 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interview answers were categorized into themes
Who	RESULTS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OHS departments Executive team members Pilot unit managers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7 interview participants Reported being satisfied with the interventions Identified facilitators and barriers to implementation (see below)

Participants stated the interventions:



Heightened the importance of leading indicators for senior leaders



Helped the site move beyond lagging indicators



Improved OHS visibility



Promoted collaborations across the organization

Facilitators to implementation



- Board support
- Genuine interest in OHS at the senior leadership level
- Corporate focus on violence as a workplace quality indicator
- Strong communication channels to create and keep the momentum about OHS
- Having champions on units
- Presence of expert guests during Rounds
- Involvement of the research team by speaking with managers and attending Rounds

Barriers to implementation



- Staff buy-in
- Workload (taking notes, minutes, coordinating guest)
- High turnover of directors, managers, staff
- Creating a safe environment
- Closing the loop/follow-up
- Inability to measure the read content on communications
- Balancing quantity of information released to prevent desensitization

¹Jonathan Bennett & Patrick Foster. (2005). Predicting progress: The use of leading indicators in occupational safety and health. *Policy and Practice in Health and Safety*, 3(2,) 77-90.

Research Team

Joan Almost
School of Nursing,
Queen’s University

Elizabeth VanDenKerkhof
School of Nursing,
Queen’s University

Peter Strahlendorf
School of Occupational
and Public Health,
Ryerson University

Louise Caicco Tett
Health & Safety
Professionals Inc.

Thomas Hayes
Safety and Security,
The Ottawa Hospital

Joanna Noonan
Occupational Health and
Safety, Kingston Health
Sciences Centre

**Public Services Health
& Safety Association
(OHS Partner)**
Henrietta Van hulle
Ryan Adam
Jeremy Holden

Research Assistants
Karanjit Lachhar
Vanessa Silva e Silva
Andrea Rochon

Project Manager
Geneviève Paré

OBJECTIVE 4C: EFFECTIVENESS OF THE INTERVENTIONS

How

- The Health and Safety Climate Assessment Tool was distributed pre- and post-intervention to assess perceptions of each hospital’s climate over time
- Due to a small sample at Site 1, independent t-test was only completed for Site 2 data to assess differences in scores over time (see below).

WHO

- Site 1: Staff and physicians
- Site 2: Staff only

ANALYSIS

- Scores out of 10 were calculated for nine climate dimensions; high scores reflect positive perception

NUMBER OF RESPONSES

- Site 1 pilot units: 28 (pre)
25 (post)
- Site 2 entire site: 255 (pre)
285 (post)



Site 1 Pilot Units:

For most dimensions, scores worsened over time but the scores for the **involvement** dimension improved (extent to which safety was a focus for everyone and all were involved).



Site 2 (entire site):

Over time, perceptions significantly improved in two dimensions:

- **Priority for safety** (status of health and safety issues within the organization)
- **Personal priorities and need for safety** (individual’s view of their own health and safety management and need to feel safe).

* p ≤ 0.05 — pre-intervention
† p ≤ 0.01 — post-intervention

CONCLUSION

The results suggest the utilization of leading indicators to assess current systems, identify gaps, and implement tailored interventions is feasible within acute care hospitals, and is a promising new approach to proactively develop a culture of healthy and safe workplaces.

With the support of



HEALTH & SAFETY PROFESSIONALS INC.

If you have any questions or comments about the project, contact Joan Almost, Principal Investigator, at joan.almost@queensu.ca